imagine learning

White Paper

Curriculum-Informed AI™

The Next Era of AI in Education

Executive Summary

- **AI's role in K–12 education is expanding,** but implementation remains uneven and often disconnected from curricular goals. Many tools prioritize technical novelty over instructional effectiveness, leading to inconsistent results and growing educator skepticism.
- **Curriculum-Informed AI offers a new framework** for AI integration that centers on high-quality instructional materials (HQIM), research-backed pedagogy, and educator empowerment. It is designed not as an add-on, but as a system purpose-built to support learning.
- **Educator trust and student safety depend on transparency, clarity in how AI generates outputs,** and content alignment. Curriculum-Informed AI prioritizes these elements by enhancing general AI systems with curated educational data, instructional structure, and rigorous oversight.
- **District leaders can use Curriculum-Informed AI to align AI investments to strategic goals,** such as academic recovery, equity, and teacher retention. When embedded in instructional platforms, AI can scale personalized supports and improve data-driven actions.
- **Teachers benefit most when AI reduces workload and enhances instructional precision.** Use cases like adaptive scaffolding, formative insights, and standards-aligned content generation show how AI can save time while preserving educator control.
- **Policymakers and developers must codify responsible practices for AI in education,** including safeguards for high-stakes decisions, student data privacy, and algorithmic fairness. Future-ready standards should include AI literacy, responsible use guidelines, and clear accountability measures.
- **The next wave of AI in schools — multimodal models, agentic systems, and smarter LLMs — requires intentional governance.** Curriculum-Informed AI ensures these capabilities evolve in service of instruction, not at its expense.

Introduction:

1. Beyond the Hype: Grounding AI in Educational Purpose

Artificial intelligence (AI) has quickly moved from theoretical promise to practical presence in K–12 education. Since the introduction of generative AI in 2022, the field has experienced a wave of interest — from bold predictions about its potential to solve entrenched instructional challenges to urgent calls for restrictions or even outright bans. While views are polarized, one reality is clear: AI will continue to shape how schools teach, how educators work, and how students learn.

Recent adoption trends underscore both the momentum and the uncertainty. In the 2023–2024 school year, one in four K–12 teachers reported using AI tools for instructional planning or teaching. Usage was higher among ELA and science educators, approaching 40%.[1] Principals reported even higher engagement, with nearly 60% using AI tools in their work, while usage varied sharply by school context: teachers and leaders in higher-poverty schools were less likely to use AI and less likely to receive guidance on it, deepening equity gaps in adoption and support.[2] Yet full integration into classrooms remains limited and inconsistent. Despite this, AI-specific teacher training is uncommon — 93% of administrators believe it's important to provide AI training for educators, but only 25% say their schools or districts have offered it,[3] indicating a gap in comprehensive training and understanding. Meanwhile, student engagement with AI tools has surged; a Pew Research Center survey revealed that the percentage of teens aged 13 to 17 using ChatGPT for schoolwork doubled from 13% in 2023 to 26% in 2024.[4]

This gap between AI enthusiasm and educational effectiveness is widening. Districts face a growing imperative to differentiate between AI that merely automates tasks and AI that meaningfully contributes to student learning. Technology-first approaches, even when innovative, often fail to deliver measurable instructional benefits. In many cases, AI tools introduced in classrooms are not aligned with academic standards, are opaque in how they make decisions, or introduce risks around misinformation, bias, or data privacy.

These concerns are not abstract. In a 2024 national survey, 84% of educators expressed deep concern about AI's potential to encourage plagiarism,[5] while 70% worried about its impact on students' critical thinking skills.[6] At the same time, school leaders cite a lack of trusted, curriculum-aligned solutions as a key barrier to scaling AI beyond early pilots. The challenge is not AI itself, but how it is applied — and whether its design is rooted in the pedagogical goals and instructional realities of K–12 education.

To tap into AI's potential while mitigating its risks, education needs a different approach. AI must be taught how to teach. That requires more than advanced large language models; it requires grounding AI in high-quality instructional materials (HQIM), proven pedagogy, and educational standards. This paper introduces **Curriculum-Informed AI** — a guiding framework for designing and implementing AI that serves teaching and learning, not just technology advancement. It reflects Imagine Learning's belief that AI should support, not supplant, the work of educators and that its value lies not in novelty, but in its alignment with student outcomes and instructional integrity.

2. What Is Curriculum-Informed AI?

AI in education has advanced rapidly, yet most tools entering classrooms today are generalized systems, adapted from commercial applications and repurposed for instructional use. While these models are powerful, they often lack alignment with academic standards, the science of learning, curricula, and instructional best practices. In contrast, **Curriculum-Informed AI** represents a distinct class of education-first AI purpose-built to enhance teaching and learning by integrating directly into HQIM and evidence-based pedagogy.

At its core, Curriculum-Informed AI is not a feature, nor generic generative AI capabilities added to an existing product. It is a philosophy and development standard that guides how AI systems are conceived, developed, and deployed in K–12 settings. It prioritizes the instructional context in which AI is used, ensuring that any recommendation, output, or intervention is rooted in the realities of the classroom, aligned with standards, and supports the expertise of educators.

Core Attributes of Curriculum-Informed AI

- **Curriculum-Aligned:** AI tools are built around HQIM from the start, not adapted post hoc. This ensures AI-generated recommendations, scaffolds, and content extensions reflect the academic rigor and structure of the curricula. Curriculum-Informed AI is not only aligned with curricula but also rooted in learning science principles like retrieval, spacing, and scaffolding.
- **Educator-Empowering:** Curriculum-Informed AI is designed to serve as an instructional co-pilot. It enhances educator capacity by providing insights, not prescriptions — supporting decisions rather than replacing them. In practice, this may include surfacing formative assessment trends, suggesting differentiated prompts, or highlighting student misconceptions in real time, while preserving teacher control over instructional pathways.

- **Transparent and Responsible:** Trust is central to AI's role in education. Curriculum-Informed AI is transparent in how it generates outputs, with explainable models and clear provenance of content sources. Privacy is a foundational design requirement. Data is handled securely, in compliance with FERPA, COPPA, and state-specific regulations, and student interactions with AI are governed by clear, visible guardrails.
- **Instructionally Aware:** AI cannot be instructionally agnostic. Curriculum-Informed AI must recognize instructional goals, such as standards mastery, concept progression, and depth of knowledge, and adjust its outputs accordingly. Whether supporting a reading comprehension exercise or a science lab write-up, AI outputs need to scaffold learning, promote critical thinking, and adapt to each learner's needs.
- **Built on Strong Models, Refined by Educational Context:** Curriculum-Informed AI does not reject the power of general large language models. Instead, it refines them, supplementing base models with research-backed content, pedagogical logic, and guardrails to ensure outputs are academically sound, developmentally appropriate, and instructionally aligned. This layered approach leverages model capability while embedding educational integrity.

	Generic Generative AI	Curriculum-Informed AI
Training and Grounding Data	General and broad content	HQIM, standards-aligned curricula, vetted sources
Instructional Context	Absent, minimal, or generic	Built-in pedagogical structure, standards logic, and curricular alignment
Use Case Fit	Broad but imprecise, consumer-focused	Purpose-built for K–12 teaching and learning
Educator Role	Often bypassed	Central to decision-making and oversight
Content Provenance	Opaque	Transparent, explainable outputs
Data Privacy Controls	Varies widely	Compliant with K–12 privacy frameworks

Figure 1: Comparative Snapshot

Curriculum-Informed AI is not a reactive adaptation to a trend, but rather a proactive design philosophy responsive to the pressing need for AI that strengthens instruction, respects educator agency, and promotes equitable student outcomes. As districts explore the expanding AI landscape, this approach offers a clear framework to separate short-term novelty from long-term educational value.

3. Why It Matters: The Strategic Imperative for Schools and Districts

The rise of AI has placed new demands on district leadership. Educators and administrators are being asked to integrate technologies that were not designed for the classroom, govern systems they did not create, and safeguard students in an environment of accelerating complexity. In this context, **Curriculum-Informed AI** is not a feature but a strategy. It offers school systems a path to deploy AI in ways that are aligned with instructional priorities, grounded in academic rigor, and responsive to the concerns of educators, families, and communities.

The following subsections explore the distinct, yet interconnected, implications of Curriculum-Informed AI for superintendents, teachers, school leaders, policymakers, and edtech partners.

Implications of AI Use for Superintendents and District Leaders

AI adoption is no longer optional — it's strategic. But not all AI supports the district's mission.

- **Align to Instructional Goals:** Most AI tools weren't built for schools. Curriculum-Informed AI offers standards-aligned insights that strengthen tiered supports, equity initiatives, and academic recovery.
- **Support Teachers, Don't Overload Them:** Embedding AI into curriculum platforms can boost coaching, reduce burnout, and increase instructional impact without adding complexity.
- **Choose Partners with Pedagogical Integrity:** Technical capability isn't enough. Vet AI solutions based on curricular alignment, instructional quality, and transparency.
- **Prioritize Governance and Trust:** Privacy, explainability, and data security are table stakes. Systems must comply with FERPA and COPPA and make their decision-making clear.

Takeaway: AI must serve the curricula, empower teachers, and uphold trust.

Implications of AI Use for Educators and School Leaders

Teachers don't need *more* tools — they need better ones. AI should save time, deepen learning, and respect teacher agency.

- **Save Time, Don't Add Tasks:** Use AI to automate low-value tasks like grouping students, drafting exit tickets, or creating scaffolds directly within existing lessons. Teachers are already spending time correcting and supplementing; AI must reduce this load, not add to it.
- **Keep Instruction Aligned and Responsive:** When embedded in HQIM, AI can suggest personalized prompts, feedback, or extension activities that reflect standards and classroom context.
- **Maintain Control and Professional Judgment:** Curriculum-Informed AI supports personalization without ceding decision-making to algorithms.

Examples in Practice:

- AI flags a misconception in math and recommends a quick reteach group.
- ELA AI suggests leveled prompts for a shared anchor text.
- A school leader reviews content trends across classrooms to target PD.

Takeaway: AI must save time while reinforcing — not diluting — core learning goals. Effective AI understands context, respects instruction, and amplifies teacher impact.

Implications of AI Use for Policymakers and EdTech Leaders

AI's role in education must be intentional, ethical, and instructionally grounded.

- **Codify Responsible Use:** Most districts still lack AI policies. Leaders should establish standards for transparency, fairness, and academic alignment.
- **Safeguard High-Stakes Decisions:** When AI supports placement, feedback, or progress monitoring, it must be auditable and bias-tested. Curriculum-Informed AI protects not just pedagogy but intellectual property, which is important for authors, artists, and rights holders.
- **Build AI Literacy into Learning:** Students must learn *with* AI and about it. Critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and algorithmic awareness should be part of state standards.
- **Anticipate What's Next:** Artificial general intelligence (AGI) may be years away, but AI agents and multimodal tools are already here. Governance must evolve alongside capability.

Takeaway: Policymakers and developers must shape — not just react to — AI's role in education.

4. Misconceptions That Undermine AI Adoption in Education

Despite growing interest in AI across the education sector, significant misconceptions continue to shape how schools perceive and implement AI. These misunderstandings create barriers to meaningful integration, foster distrust among educators, and lead to misguided policy or procurement decisions. Clarifying what AI is — and what it is not — is essential for unlocking its instructional value.

AI Is *Not* a Teacher Replacement

The fear that AI will displace educators remains one of the most persistent and misleading narratives in the field. In reality, effective AI in education is not autonomous, but assistive. It augments teacher expertise by handling routine tasks, surfacing data-driven insights, and personalizing content scaffolds, all while keeping instructional decisions in the hands of educators.

Teachers remain central to learning. They interpret nuance, build relationships, foster motivation, and make pedagogical judgments that AI cannot replicate. AI must center people and serve as a co-pilot — not a substitute — for teaching and learning.

> **Clarification:** AI is a support mechanism. It can streamline workflows and enhance personalization, but instructional design, classroom culture, and academic decision-making still require educator leadership.

More AI Is *Not* Better Learning

The presence of AI in a platform does not guarantee instructional value. Without alignment to curricular goals and standards, AI tools risk adding cognitive noise rather than improving outcomes. Many generic AI tools can produce plausible-sounding outputs that fail to meet grade-level expectations or reinforce core learning objectives.

When AI lacks curricular grounding, its recommendations can distract, confuse, or even contradict what students are supposed to learn.

> **Clarification:** AI must be aligned with content rigor, learning science, and instructional purpose. Curriculum-Informed AI ensures that personalization supports — not fragments — the learning journey.

AI Is *Not* Just a Tool

Describing AI as "just another tool" oversimplifies its impact. AI systems, particularly those embedded in instructional platforms, shape how students engage with content, what materials they are exposed to, and how teachers interpret student performance. This makes AI a *systemic actor* in the classroom, not merely a productivity enhancement.

Unchecked, AI can subtly influence pacing, topic sequencing, or even student grouping. Without careful design and oversight, this influence can reinforce inequities or instructional misalignments. Recognizing AI as an active component in the learning environment — one that must be intentionally guided — is critical to ensuring its impact is positive and aligned with pedagogical goals.

> **Clarification:** AI isn't neutral. It reflects the design choices and training data behind it. Educators need visibility into how AI systems operate and the pedagogical assumptions they embed.

Generic Does *Not* Mean Trusted

Most large-scale AI models today are trained on massive, uncurated datasets from the open internet. While this provides breadth, it introduces serious challenges for education: hallucinations, inaccuracies, and embedded biases. For example, a generic AI assistant may produce content that contradicts academic standards, reinforces stereotypes, or lacks age-appropriate framing.

Educators are right to question the trustworthiness of such outputs. Curriculum-Informed AI addresses this by using **curated training and grounding data** aligned to HQIM, state standards, and research-backed pedagogy and by embedding **controlled model alignment** mechanisms to limit error and bias.

By addressing these misconceptions directly, districts and schools can engage in clearer decision-making and more confident innovation. AI is not a panacea, but when developed responsibly and aligned to instruction, it can be a powerful force for equity, personalization, and instructional support.

> **Clarification:** Trust in AI is earned through transparency and content provenance. Systems trained on educationally irrelevant data cannot be assumed safe or instructionally sound.

5. The Future of AI in Education: What to Watch

AI in education is evolving beyond static prompts and content generation. The next wave of innovation will be defined not by novelty but by adaptability — and result in models that better understand context, respond across modalities, and integrate seamlessly into instructional ecosystems. For education leaders, anticipating these developments is critical to shaping policies, investments, and professional learning strategies that ensure AI enhances teaching and learning.

Several emerging trends are poised to redefine how AI is used in schools over the next three to five years. These developments are not speculative: they are already being piloted across districts, labs, and learning platforms.

Multimodal AI: Expanding How Students Interact

The next generation of AI tools will move beyond text to engage with images, speech, and video, enabling richer, more accessible interactions for diverse learners. Emerging models can interpret a diagram, respond to spoken questions, reason about data, or analyze visual inputs in real time. In classrooms, this could enable students to receive feedback on science projects through photos or hear explanations paired with visual scaffolds, which is particularly beneficial for younger learners, multilingual students, and those with disabilities. These capabilities will require districts to consider new infrastructure and privacy safeguards, but they offer significant potential for differentiated instruction and universal design.

AI Agents: Toward Persistent Instructional Assistants

AI agents represent a shift from one-off responses to persistent, context-aware instructional support. These systems can remember student progress, assist with planning, and evolve alongside classroom routines. For example, some AI tutors already offer ongoing dialogue, formative feedback, and adaptive prompts. As agents grow more sophisticated, they will serve as co-pilots, flagging learning gaps, suggesting lesson adjustments, and automating administrative tasks. To ensure these systems are effective and safe, they must be auditable, standards-aligned, and designed with strong educator oversight.

Smarter Models: Instructionally Tuned and Developmentally Aware

Advanced LLMs are beginning to demonstrate greater instructional sensitivity — offering scaffolds, sustaining concept progression, and supporting reflective thinking. When tuned with curriculum-based content and pedagogical logic, these models improve accuracy and relevance, particularly in high-leverage areas like writing support or differentiated feedback. Rather than deploying general AI tools in education, smarter models should be refined through Curriculum-Informed AI principles to meet academic expectations, developmental needs, and instructional coherence.

Preparing for the AGI Era: Teaching for a Future Not Yet Written

As AI systems grow more capable and move toward generalized reasoning and near-human performance, schools must prepare students to engage with AI critically, ethically, and constructively. This requires shifting focus from procedural skills to meta-skills: adaptability, algorithmic literacy, and sound decision-making. AI literacy must become part of digital citizenship, STEM, and career readiness programs, equipping students not just to use AI, but to shape its role in society.

In sum, the next phase of AI in education is not about increasing the number of tools but improving their instructional relevance. It will be shaped by systems with greater contextual awareness, multimodal capabilities, and integration into existing workflows. For districts and schools, this presents both a challenge and a mandate: to engage early and intentionally with emerging technologies — and to guide their use to serve educational goals, rather than reacting after they have already reshaped practice.

6. Curriculum-Informed AI in Action: Early Applications

Curriculum-Informed AI enables targeted, curriculum-aligned support across multiple layers of instruction. These examples illustrate how such systems could function when purpose-built for the classroom.

- **Adaptive Math Tutoring Within Curriculum Bounds:** In a fifth-grade math classroom, an AI-powered tutor could identify conceptual misunderstandings when dividing fractions and adjust prompts in real time. Rather than reteaching concepts generically, the system would draw on research-based approaches to enable transfer of knowledge, surface curriculum-aligned scaffolds and representations, and reinforce key ideas.
- **AI as a Co-Planner in ELA:** An eighth-grade English teacher could use an AI assistant embedded within the district's adopted curriculum platform to generate three tiers of writing prompts analyzing theme development in *The Giver*. Each prompt would align with specific standards while offering varying levels of textual scaffolding. For struggling readers, the system would suggest targeted vocabulary pre-teaching activities focusing on abstract concept words essential to the novel, while for advanced students, it would recommend thematically connected supplementary texts that maintain instructional coherence with the unit's essential questions.
- **AI-Driven Instructional Insights for School Leaders:** A middle school principal receives analytics showing grade 7 students struggling with proportional reasoning. The system connects these patterns to specific curriculum lessons while automatically suggesting targeted professional development — including visual modeling workshops and master teacher videos. Teachers access these resources with their Professional Learning Communities (PLC) alongside student work samples to collaboratively plan reteaching. The principal monitors implementation through focused walkthroughs, creating a coherent cycle of data analysis, teacher learning, and instructional improvement.

These modeled applications demonstrate how AI designed with instructional intent could enhance educator decision-making, personalize learning, and streamline planning while preserving curricular coherence and professional judgment.

Call to Action:

Leading with Purpose and Trust

As AI becomes more embedded in K–12 education, its impact will depend on how intentionally it is integrated into instructional systems. Curriculum-Informed AI provides a framework for ensuring that AI tools enhance, rather than disrupt, teaching and learning.

To move from early adoption to meaningful impact:

- **Districts** should establish AI evaluation frameworks grounded in curricular alignment, explainability, and student data privacy.
- **Educators** need access to sustained professional learning focused on AI literacy, instructional use cases, and ethical implementation.
- **Policymakers** should advance research-backed standards for AI use in schools, ensuring transparency, fairness, and instructional coherence.
- **Vendors** must prioritize trust, content accuracy, and alignment with HQIM over novelty or speed to market.

Widespread adoption alone is not the goal. The focus must remain on whether AI tools contribute to instructional quality, educator capacity, and student outcomes. Curriculum-informed design ensures that AI serves educational priorities.

References

[1] RAND Corporation, Uneven Adoption of AI Tools Among U.S. Teachers and Principals, 2025.

[2] Ibid.

[3] Carnegie Learning, The State of AI in Education, 2024.

[4] Pew Research Center, About a Quarter of U.S. Teens Have Used ChatGPT for Schoolwork – Double the Share in 2023, 2025.

[5] Imagine Learning, The 2024 Educator AI Report: Perceptions, Practices, and Potential, 2024.

[6] Ibid.

imaginelearning.com

877-725-4257 • solutions@imaginelearning.com

1620957897 2503